

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/724,553	12/01/2003	Edwin S. Romano	TOR7119	1862
44088	7590 11/28/2006		EXAM	INER
SEAN KAUFHOLD			SAID, MANSOUR M	
P. O. BOX 89 SIOUX FALL	D626 LS, SD 57109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/724,553	ROMANO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		MANSOUR M. SAID	2629			
D:	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period f	• •	V 10 057 70 5 VD1D5 - 1	IONELINO OD TUBETY (OO) DANG			
WHI0 - Extending after a	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not so time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 O</u>	ctober 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠						
7)∟ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>3,4,6, 10 and 12</u> is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement				
ا اره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine		•			
10)∟	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
		difficer. Note the attached	JOINCE ACION OF IOME F 10-102.			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	s have been received				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on October 2, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dong (6,630,895 B1) in view of Chuang (6,967,831 B2).

As to claim 1, Dong teaches a computer input (keyboard, (figures 1-3)) and display (display, (figures 1-3, (20)) combination for selectively coupling to a computer (PC, (figure 4)) (column 2, 14-65), an assembly including: a housing having a top wall, a bottom wall, a back wall, a first side wall, a second side wall, and a front wall (figures 1-3); a processor (USB interface control circuit, (figure 4)) being mounted within said housing (figure 4, column 1, lines 40-45 and column 2, lines 20-25); an actuator being electrically coupled to said processor for selectively supplying electricity to processor (figures 1-4 and column 2, lines 2-29 and column 2, lines 60-65); a plurality of keys defining a computer keyboard (figures 1-3) being positioned in said top wall and being substantially flush with said top wall (figures 1-3, column 2, lines 30-41), each of said keys being electrically coupled to said processor (figures 1-4, column 2, lines 40-

45, column 2, lines 20-25 and column 2, lines 60-65); a display (display, (figures 1-4, (20)) being mounted in said top wall (column 2, lines 29-36) and being substantially flush with said top wall (column 2, lines 29-36), said display (display, (figures 1-4, (20)) being electrically coupled to said processor (USB interface control circuit, (figure 4)) (column 1, lines 40-45 and column 2, lines 15-28); an interface being electrically coupled to said processor and selectively coupled to the computer for communication between said processor and the computer (figure 4 and column 2, lines 15-30); and wherein input from said plurality of keys may be received by said computer and a video signal received from the computer may be displayed on said display (figures 1-4, column 1, lines 40-59 and column 2, lines 15-65).

Dong does not expressly disclose each of said keys comprising a touch sensitive key and said housing having a height from said top wall to said bottom wall less then 2 ½ inches.

However, Chuang teaches a keyboard having a touch pad keys (figures 7-9 and column 3, 15-63), furthermore, Chuang fairly teaches a keyboard housing having a height less than a 2 ½ inches (keyboard, (3, 5 & 7-8)) is a flat keyboard, which can folded and insert into a pocket of the user (figures 3-8 and column 2, lines 30-36 and column 5, lines 30-31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Chuang's keyboard having a touch keys and a flat housing into Dong's keyboard so as to provide a foldable keyboard employing touch panel, which has compact size and comes in handy (column 2, lines 1-5).

Dong and Chuang don't disclose a keyboard's housing having a height a specific size, such as, less than 2 ½ inches.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,553

Art Unit: 2629

However, it is a design choice to make a keyboard height having less than 2 1/2 inches, unless it shows such specific size/height is an advantage feature, so as to increase the versatility of the input device.

A change is size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

4. Claims 2, 5, 7-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dong in view of Chuang as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Ozolins (2004/0100447 A1).

As to claims 2, 5, 7-9 and 11, Dong and Chuang disclose all claimed limitations except that LCD display, foot pads being attached to said bottom wall, and light emitters being mounted within said housing, and said apertures being positioned adjacent to said sound emitter.

However, disclose touch sensitive key (figures 1 & 3, column 2, paragraph 0015 and column 7, paragraph 0067), LCD display (column 2, paragraph 0015), foot pads being attached to said bottom wall (devices attached to or integrated with the keyboard, (column 2, paragraph 0015)), column 4, paragraph 0032 and column 6, paragraph 0056), and light emitters being mounted within said housing (column 8, paragraph 0074, and said apertures (figures 1 & 3, (102)) being positioned adjacent to said sound emitter (figures 1 & 3 and column 5, paragraph 0049).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Ozolins's keyboard having different feature into Dong's

keyboard so as to perform a controller function of proving signals relating to the state of the switches to couple computers or other devices (column 0010, paragraph 0010).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 13 allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claim 13 is allowed since certain key features of the claimed invention are not taught or fairly suggested by prior art "a plurality of light emitters being mounted within said housing, each of said light emitters being mounted adjacent to one of said keys, each of said light emitters being electrically coupled to said processor, each of said light emitters comprising a light-emitting diode; a control being electrically coupled to said microprocessor for selectively altering a luminosity of said light emitters, said control being mounted on said housing, a display being mounted in said top wall and being substantially flush with said top wall, said display being electrically coupled to said processor, said display comprising a liquid crystal display, said display being backlit, said display being positioned between said plurality of keys and said back wall; a sound emitter being mounted within said housing and being electrically coupled to said processor, said top wall having a grouping of apertures extending there-through, said apertures being positioned adjacent to said sound emitter; a touch pad being mounted in said top wall, said touch pad being operationally coupled to the processor, said touch pad being positioned between said plurality of keys and said front wall; an interface being electrically coupled to said processor and selectively coupled to the computer for communication between said processor and the

computer, said interface including a first transceiver and a second transceiver each adapted for sending and receiving wireless transmissions, said first transceiver being electrically coupled to said processor, said second transceiver being removably electrically coupled to the computer; and input from said plurality of keys and said touch pad being received by said computer, a video signal received from the computer being displayed on said display and a sound signal received from the computer being played by said sound emitter".

6. Claims 3-4, 6, 10 & 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/2/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued, "the combination cannot be anticipated by the prior art referenced due to lack of motivation".

However, Examiner respectfully disagrees, both references disclose a computer keyboard, one with touch sensitive keys or touch keys and the other teaches a computer keyboard coupled a display device.

Therefore, the combination all references fairly discloses the claimed limitations, and all references should be taken in combination and not individually. The Applicant cannot show non-obviousness by attacking references individually where, as here the rejections are based on combination of references. In re Keller, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Application/Control Number: 10/724,553

Art Unit: 2629

Page 7

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ouellette et al. (5,581,243) teach a phantom keyboard is formed on a touch sensitive display as an input tool for a computer.

Hiller (6,396,483 B1) teaches a keyboard incorporating multi-function flat-panel input device.

Madsen et al. (2005/0057891 A1) teach a portable computer device.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS OFFICE ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mansour M. Said whose telephone number is 571-272-7679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30-6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe whose telephone number is 571-272-7691.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at the Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/724,553

Art Unit: 2629

Mansour M. Said

11/16/06

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 9